

Specifically, claims 1 and 7 are amended to recited a plurality of conduction sections each defining at least part of an external terminal with substantially rectangular contour lines, the conduction sections being electrically connected to the leads. This amendment is supported in the specification at least a page 8, lines 24-26 and pages 8-9, lines 31-4.

I. THE CLAIMS DEFINE ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

The Office Action rejects claims 1-4 and 6-12 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,293,067 to Thompson et al. (hereinafter Thompson). The rejection is respectfully traversed.


The Examiner has asserted that Thompson teaches a plurality of leads radially extending from a peripheral area toward a central area of the substrate main body. See, for example, Fig. 1. These assertions are respectfully traversed.

Specifically, and assuming *arguendo* that Thompson teaches a plurality of leads radially extending away from a peripheral area toward a central area of the substrate main body, Thompson does not disclose or suggest a plurality of conduction sections each defining at least part of an external terminal with substantially rectangular contour lines, as recited in independent claim 1 and similarly recited in independent claim 7.

Instead, Thompson only shows a specific and particular lead pattern comprising a plurality of leads 20, and through-holes 22. See, for example, Fig. 1.

Therefore, Applicant asserts that Thompson does not disclose or suggest at least a plurality of conduction sections each defining at least part of an external terminal with substantially rectangular contour lines, as recited in independent claim 1 and similarly in independent claim 7. Furthermore, Thompson does not even suggest delineation upon a substrate.

Therefore, independent claims 1 and 7 define patentable subject matter. Claims 2-4, 6 and 8-12, which depend from independent claim 1, and independent claim 7 respectively, are



Application No. 09/245,288

likewise distinguishable over Thompson for at least the reasons discussed, as well as for the additional features they recite, and also define patentable subject matter.

Accordingly, Applicant requests that the rejection of claims 1-4 and 6-12 be withdrawn.

II. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-4, 6-12 and 19-25 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe anything further is desirable in order to place the application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

William D. Titcomb  
Registration No. 46,463

JAO:WDT/llw

Attachment:  
Appendix

Date: July 31, 2001

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 19928**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461
--